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ABSTRACT

This paper is concerned with the problem of finding the minimax estimator of the parameter \( \theta \) of the Rayleigh distribution for quadratic loss function by applying the theorem of Lehmann (1950).
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1 INTRODUCTION

In an expository paper, Siddique (1962) discussed the origin and properties of the Rayleigh distribution. Polovko (1968) and Dyer and Whisenand (1973) noted the importance of this distribution in electro vacuum devices and communication engineering. Dey and Das (2007) obtained Bayesian predictive intervals of the parameter of Rayleigh distribution. The probability density function of the Rayleigh distribution is given by:

\[
f(x | \theta) = \frac{x}{\theta^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2 \theta^2}}
\]

where \( \theta \) is the parameter of the distribution.

Podder et al. (2004) studied the minimax estimator of the parameter of the Pareto distribution under Quadratic and MLINEX loss functions. In this paper, we shall estimate the parameter of Rayleigh distribution by using the technique of minimax approach under quadratic loss function, which is essentially a Bayesian approach. The most important element in the minimax approach is the specification of a distribution function on the parameter space, which is called prior distribution. In addition to the prior distribution, the minimax estimator for a particular model depends strongly on the loss function assumed. The basic difference between the philosophy of the minimax and classical estimation is that in minimax estimation the parameter of the distribution is assumed to be a random variable, where as classical estimation regards it as a fixed point.

In this paper, we derive the minimax estimator of the parameter \( \theta \) of the Rayleigh distribution under quadratic loss function. The derivation depends primarily on Lehmann’s Theorem (Lehmann, 1950) and can be stated as follows.

**Theorem:** Let \( \tau = \{F_0; \theta \in \Theta \} \) be a family of distribution functions and \( D \) a class of estimators of \( \theta \). Suppose that \( d^* \in D \) is a Bayes’ estimator against a prior distribution \( \xi^*(\theta) \) on the parameter space \( \Theta \) and the risk function \( R(d^*, \theta) = \) constant on \( \Theta \); then \( d^* \) is a minimax estimator of \( \theta \).

The major result of this paper is contained in this theorem and its discussion is given below.
2 MAJOR RESULTS

Theorem 2.1: Let \( X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) \) be ‘n’ independently and identically distributed random variables drawn from the density (1.1). Then \( \hat{\theta}_{MQL} = \frac{1}{2 \Gamma (\frac{n+c}{2}) \Gamma (\frac{n+c+1}{2})} \left( \frac{s^2}{2} \right)^{\frac{n+c}{2}} \) is the minimax estimator of the parameter \( \theta \) for the quadratic loss function (QLF) of the type:

\[
L(\theta, d) = \left( \frac{\theta - d}{\theta} \right)^2
\]

where \( \theta \) is the parameter to be estimated and \( d \) is the estimate of \( \theta \).

Note that a loss function of the form \( L(\theta, d) = (\theta - d)^2 \) is not a minimax estimator for the above distribution.

First we have to prove Theorem 2.1. We use Lehmann’s Theorem, which was stated before. Here, we consider the quadratic loss function (QLF) of the form

\[
L(\theta, d) = \left( \frac{\theta - d}{\theta} \right)^2
\]

which is a non-negative symmetric and continuous loss function of \( \theta \) and \( d \). In order to prove the theorem, it will be sufficient to show that

\[
d = \frac{\Gamma (\frac{2n+c}{2}) \Gamma (\frac{2n+c+1}{2})}{\Gamma (\frac{n+c}{2}) \Gamma (\frac{n+c+1}{2})} \left( \frac{s^2}{2} \right)^{\frac{n+c}{2}}
\]

is a minimax estimator of \( \theta \) for the loss function (2.1). For this, first we have to find the Bayes’ estimator \( d \) of \( \theta \). Then, if we can show that the risk function of \( d \) is constant, the Theorem 2.1 will be followed. Let us assume that \( \theta \) has non-informative prior density defined as

\[
g(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{\theta^c} ; \quad \theta > 0, c > 0
\]

When \( c = 3 \), we get the ALI prior for the Rayleigh pdf (1.1) because of Hartigan (1964).

As pointed out in (1.1), the likelihood function of the distribution of \( f(x|\theta) \) is given by

\[
L(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n | \theta) = (\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i)^{-2n} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\theta^2}}
\]

Assuming that the parameter \( \theta \) is unknown, the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of the parameter \( \theta \) can be shown to be

\[
\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = (\frac{s^2}{2n})^{1/2}
\]

Combining the likelihood function (2.4) and the prior \( g(\theta) \) in (2.3), the posterior distribution of \( \theta \) via Bayes’ Theorem for the given random sample \( X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) is

\[
\pi(\theta | x) = \frac{L(x | \theta) g(\theta)}{\int_{\Omega} L(x | \theta) g(\theta) d\theta} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-2n-c} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\theta^2}}}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-2n-c} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\theta^2}} d\theta}
\]
On simplification, we get,
\[
2\left(\frac{s^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{2n+c-1}{2}} e^{\frac{s^2}{2\theta^2}} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n + c - 1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n + c}{2}\right)} \theta^{2n+c}
\]  
(2.5)

Now, for the QLF (2.1), the Bayes' estimator of \( \theta \) is given by
\[
d = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \pi(\theta | x) d\theta}{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \pi(\theta | x) d\theta} = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^{2n+c+1}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\theta^2}} d\theta}{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^{2n+c+2}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\theta^2}} d\theta}
\]

On simplification, we get,
\[
d = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c+1}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{S^2}{2}\right)^{1/2}; \quad S^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2
\]  
(2.6)

The risk function of the estimator \( d \) is
\[
R(\theta) = E[ L(\theta | d)]
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\theta^2} \left[ \theta^2 - 2\theta E(d) + E(d^2) \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\theta^2} \left[ \theta^2 - 2\theta \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c+1}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} E(s) + \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c+1}{2}\right)} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2} E(s^2) \right]
\]
\[
= \left[ 1 - 2\sqrt{2n} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c+1}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma n} + n\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c+1}{2}\right)} \right)^2 \right]
\]  
(2.7)

which is constant.

Therefore, according to Lehmann’s Theorem, it follows that
\[
d = \hat{d}_{MQL} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2n+c+1}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{S^2}{2}\right)^{1/2}
\]  
is the minimax estimator of the parameter \( \theta \) of the Rayleigh distribution under QLF of the form (2.1).
3 EMPIRICAL STUDY

Mean Squared Errors (MSEs) are considered to compare the different estimators of the parameter $\theta$ of the Rayleigh distribution and are obtained by the Maximum likelihood and Minimax for Quadratic Loss function methods. The MSE of an estimator is defined by

$$
\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = E[(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2] = \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) + [\text{Bias}(\hat{\theta})]^2
$$

The estimated values of the parameter and MSE of the estimators are compared by the Monte-Carlo Simulation Method, using the Rayleigh distribution.

Table 1. Estimated values and MSEs of different estimators for the parameter $\theta$ of the Rayleigh distribution when $\theta = 1$ and $c = 1$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{MQL}$</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9504</td>
<td>0.9744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>7.7187</td>
<td>7.8239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9696</td>
<td>0.9818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.7906</td>
<td>0.7908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9863</td>
<td>0.9924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.1006</td>
<td>0.1008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9876</td>
<td>0.9925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0567</td>
<td>0.0568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9915</td>
<td>0.9957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0335</td>
<td>0.0336</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Estimated values and MSEs of different estimators for the parameter $\theta$ of the Rayleigh distribution when $\theta = 1$ and $c = -1$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{MQL}$</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0547</td>
<td>0.9732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>9.8513</td>
<td>8.1132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0250</td>
<td>0.9860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.8128</td>
<td>0.7394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0169</td>
<td>0.9977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.1101</td>
<td>0.1039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0156</td>
<td>1.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0563</td>
<td>0.0534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0139</td>
<td>1.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0309</td>
<td>0.0295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Estimated values and MSEs of different estimators for the parameter $\theta$ of the Rayleigh distribution when $\theta=1$ and $c=2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{MQL}$</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.8984</td>
<td>0.9639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>7.8057</td>
<td>7.3995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9416</td>
<td>0.9764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.9382</td>
<td>0.9051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9661</td>
<td>0.9841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.1076</td>
<td>0.1043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0087</td>
<td>1.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0416</td>
<td>0.0415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9854</td>
<td>0.9976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0211</td>
<td>0.0209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Estimated values and MSEs of different estimators for the parameter $\theta$ of the Rayleigh distribution when $\theta=1$ and $c=-2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{MQL}$</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.1154</td>
<td>0.9670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>10.4373</td>
<td>6.5056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0462</td>
<td>0.9788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.9977</td>
<td>0.8197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0150</td>
<td>0.9828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0977</td>
<td>0.0922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0123</td>
<td>0.9867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0422</td>
<td>0.0403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0088</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0285</td>
<td>0.0276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Estimated values and MSEs of different estimators for the parameter $\theta$ of the Rayleigh distribution when $\theta=1$ and $c=3$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{MQL}$</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.8675</td>
<td>0.9702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>8.0569</td>
<td>6.9153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9285</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.9446</td>
<td>0.8789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9564</td>
<td>0.9859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.1066</td>
<td>0.0989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9793</td>
<td>1.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0489</td>
<td>0.0492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>0.9855</td>
<td>1.0058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0265</td>
<td>0.0270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Estimated values and MSEs of different estimators for the parameter $\theta$ of the Rayleigh distribution when $\theta = 1$ and $c = -3$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MQL}}$</th>
<th>$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.2062</td>
<td>0.9739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>17.9522</td>
<td>7.6486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0880</td>
<td>0.9885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>1.0695</td>
<td>0.6739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0465</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.1226</td>
<td>0.0963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0375</td>
<td>1.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0591</td>
<td>0.0484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Estimated Value</td>
<td>1.0353</td>
<td>1.0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>0.0306</td>
<td>0.0248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Graph of MSE for different values of n under MQL and MLE when Theta = 1 and C = 1

Figure 2: Graph of MSE for different values of n under MQL and MLE when Theta = 1 and C = -1
Figure 3: Graph of MSE for different values of n under MQL and MLE when Theta=1 and C=2.

Figure 4: Graph of MSE for different values of n under MQL and MLE when Theta=1 and C=-2.

Figure 5: Graph of MSE for different values of n under MQL and MLE when Theta=1 and C=3.
4 CONCLUSION

It can be seen from Tables 1, 3, and 5, along with Figures 1, 3 and 5, that the minimax estimator under squared error loss function and the classical maximum likelihood estimator have approximately the same MSEs when the value of ‘c’ is positive and sample sizes n>30. Also, it can be seen from Tables 2, 4, and 6, along with Figures 2, 4, and 6, that for small as well as for large sample sizes, the classical maximum likelihood estimator appears to be better than that of minimax estimator under quadratic loss function when the value of ‘c’ is negative. It is also to be noted that Hartigan’s prior gives better results than Jeffrey’s prior when n ≥ 25.
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